arXiv:1701.03859v4 [quant-ph] 14 Feb 2017

CHARACTERIZING THE GAUSSIAN COHERENCE-BREAKING CHANNEL AND ITS PROPERTY WITH ASSISTANT ENTANGLEMENT INPUTS

KAN HE AND JINCHUAN HOU

Abstract. In the paper, we give a characterization of arbitrary n-mode Gaussian coherence-breaking channels (GCBCs) and show the tensor product of a GCBC and arbitrary a Gaussian channel maps all input states into product states. The inclusion relation among GCBCs, Gaussian positive partial transpose channels (GPPTCs), entanglement breaking channels (GEBCs), Gaussian classical-quantum channels (GCQCs) and Gaussian quantum-classical channels (GQCCs), is displayed.

1. Introduction

Quantum coherence is one of important topics of the quantum theory and has been developed as an important quantum resource in recent years ([1]). Furthermore, it had been linked to quantum entanglement in many quantum phenomenon and plays an important role in fields of quantum biology and quantum thermodynamics ([2]-[8]).

In finite dimensional systems, the theory of (quantifying) quantum coherence has been undertaken by many authors ([9]-[16]). Quantum operations relative to coherence have become important approaches and resources, such as incoherent operations. Recently, coherence breaking channels for the finite dimensional case, as a subclass of inherent operations, are defined and characterized in [17]. As we know, continuous variable (CV) quantum systems are fundamental important from theoretical and experimental views. In particular, Gaussian states can be produced and managed experimentally easy. Several researchers focused on the theory of (quantifying) quantum coherence of Gaussian states ([14]-[20]). Furthermore, Gaussian maximally incoherent channels also be characterized in [20]. In the paper, we characterize Gaussian coherence-breaking channels and focus on some relative problems.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a complete characterization of Gaussian coherence-breaking channels. Furthermore, we show the inclusion relation among GPPTCs, GCBCs, GEBCs, GCQCs and GQCCs. In Section 3, we discuss the property of the tensor product of a GCBC and arbitrary a Gaussian channel with

Key words and phrases. Gaussian coherence breaking channels, assistant entanglement inputs.

entanglement inputs, and show an observation that the tensor product of GCBC and arbitrary a Gaussian channel maps all input states into product states, and its connection to channel capacity.

2. Characterizing GCBCs

We first give some necessary notations on GCBCs.

Definition 2.1 Let H be the complex separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $\mathcal{T}(H)$ the trace class operators on H. For the fixed reference basis $\{|i\rangle\}$, a state ρ is incoherent if $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$, otherwise, it is coherent. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_C$ the set of all incoherent states and $\mathcal{I}_C^G$ the set of incoherent Gaussian states. A Gaussian channel $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(H_A) \to \mathcal{T}(H_B)$ is coherence-breaking (CB) if $\Phi(\rho)$ is always incoherent for arbitrary a Gaussian input state $\rho \in \mathcal{T}(H_A)$.

What is the characterization of GCBCs? How can we arrive at it?

An n-mode Gaussian state ρ is represented by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int \mathrm{d}^{2n} z \, \exp(-\frac{1}{4} z^T \nu z + i d^T z) W(-z),$$

where $W(z)$ is the Weyl operator, its covariance matrix (CM) ν is a $2n \times 2n$ real symmetric matrix and the displacement vector d is a $2n$-dimensional real vector. So a Gaussian state is described by its covariance matrix and displacement, we write $\rho[\nu, d]$. If Φ is an n-mode (Bosonic) gaussian channel, $\Phi(\rho)$ have the following covariance matrix and displacement:

$$K\nu K^T + M, \;\; Kd + \bar{d},$$

where $\bar{d}$ is a $2n$ dimensional real vector, K, M are $2n \times 2n$ real matrices satisfying $M \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}(\Delta - K\Delta K^T)$, K^T is the transpose of K and

$$\Delta = \oplus^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

So we write a Gaussian channel as $\Phi(K, M, \bar{d})$.

In the following theorem, we give a characterization of n-mode GCBCs. Let us recall the Boolean matrix is the matrix with all elements in $\{0, 1\}$ and the column stochastic matrix is the matrix satisfying the sum of elements in each a column is 1.

Theorem 2.1 *For an n-mode Gaussian channel $\Phi(K, M, \bar{d})$, Φ is coherence-breaking if and only if $K = 0$, $\bar{d} = 0$ and there exist scalars $\lambda_i > 0$ such that $M = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1 I_2, \lambda_2 I_2, \ldots, \lambda_n I_2)$. In particular, every one-mode Gaussian coherence-breaking channel has the form $\Phi(0, \lambda I_2, 0)$.*

Proof. Noting that a single-mode Gaussian state is incoherent (thermal) iff it has the diagonal covariance matrix, zero displacement and squeezing, and the n-mode Gaussian

incoherent state is the tensor product of single-mode Gaussian incoherent states ([19], [20]). Therefore, the "if" part is obvious, let us check that the "only if" part.

For arbitrary an n-mode coherence-breaking Gaussian channel $\Phi(K, M, \bar{d})$, $\Phi(\mathcal{I}_C^G) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_C^G$. Since every Gaussian incoherent state has zero displacement, it follows that $\bar{d} = 0$. So without loss of generality, we assume that the Gaussian channel in the remained proof has zero displacement. Next let us begin with the proof of the claim in the part B of the fourth section in [20] for the sake of self-containment. Let $K = (A_{ij})_{n\times n}$, $M = (M_{ij})_{n\times n}$ and each A_{ij}, M_{ij} are 2×2 real matrices. Noting that an n-mode incoherent Gaussian state ρ has the covariance matrix

$$V_\rho = \mathrm{diag}(r_1 I_2, r_2 I_2, ..., r_n I_2).$$

Since Φ maps arbitrary incoherent states to themselves, we have that for each a set $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^n$, there exist a set $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & KV_\rho K^T + M = (A_{ij})_{n\times n}(\oplus_{i=1}^n r_i I_2)(A_{ij}^T)_{n\times n}^T + M \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{1j} A_{1j}^T & \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{1j} A_{2j}^T & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{1j} A_{nj}^T \\ \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{2j} A_{1j}^T & \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{2j} A_{2j}^T & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{2j} A_{nj} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n r_j r_j A_{nj} A_{1j}^T & \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{nj} A_{2j}^T & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{nj} A_{nj}^T \end{pmatrix} + M \qquad (2.1) \\ & = \oplus_{i=1}^n s_i I_2 \end{aligned}$$

where as the covariance matrix of the thermal state, r_is and s_is are larger than 1. It follows that for each j,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{kj} A_{kj}^T + M_{kk} = s_k I_2;$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n r_j A_{kj} A_{lj}^T + M_{kl} = 0, \ (k \neq l).$$

Furthermore, by arbitrariness of each r_j, we have that $M_{kl} = 0$ $(k \neq l)$ and

$$A_{kj} A_{lj}^T = 0 \ (k \neq l) \qquad (2.2)$$

and thus, there exist unique k_0 in the jth column of the block matrix $K = (A_{ij})_{n\times n}$ such that

$$r_j A_{k_0,j} A_{k_0,j}^T + M_{k_0 k_0} = s_{k_0} I_2 \text{ for each } r_j. \qquad (2.3)$$

It follows from arbitrariness of r_j that $M_{k_0 k_0} = \lambda_{k_0} I_2$ and $A_{k_0,j} = t_{k_0} \mathcal{O}_{k_0}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{k_0}$ is a 2×2 orthogonal matrix.

Now we can describe the structure of $K = (A_{ij})_{n\times n}$ as follows, for each j, there exist the only one number i_0 such that $A_{i_0 j} = t_{i_0} \mathcal{O}_{i_0} \neq 0$ in the jth column, while $A_{kj} = 0$

for $k \neq i_0$. It means that for $\Phi(K, M, 0)$, there exist n single-mode Gaussian channels $\Phi_i(K_i, M_i, 0)$ and an $n \times n$ column stochastic Boolean matrix B such that

$$K = (B \otimes I_2)(\oplus_{i=1}^n K_i), \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$M = \oplus_{i=1}^n M_i, \tag{2.5}$$

where $K_i = t_i \mathcal{O}_i$ for the 2×2 orthogonal matrix $\mathcal{O}$ and $M_i = \lambda_i I_2$ i.e.,

$$K(\cdot)K^T + M = (B \otimes I_2)(\oplus_{i=1}^n K_i)(\cdot)(\oplus_{i=1}^n K_i)^T (B \otimes I_2)^T + \oplus_{i=1}^n M_i = \oplus_{i=1}^n \phi_i. \tag{2.6}$$

To the end, it only need to show $K = 0$, i.e., each K_i is zero. Now let us focus on the operation on each a mode in (2.6). According to the column stochastic Boolean block matrix $B \otimes I_2$ and (2.6), it is reasonable to assume that for the transform ϕ_i on the ith mode in (2.6), there is a subset $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_m\} \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that for arbitrary input Gaussian product state $\otimes_{i_w=1}^m \rho_{i_w}$ with its covariance matrix $\oplus_{i_w=1}^m V_{i_w}$,

$$\phi_i(\oplus_{i_w=1}^m V_{i_w}) = \sum_{w=1}^m K_{i_w}(V_{i_w}) K_{i_w}^T + M_i, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$K_i = t_{i_w} \mathcal{O}_{i_w}, M_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{i_w}$ is 2×2 real orthogonal matrix. In order to show $K = 0$, it is enough to show each $t_{i_w} = 0$.

Next we will show $t_{i_w} = 0$ in (2.8). As we know, a 2×2 real orthogonal matrix $\mathcal{O}_{i_w}$ has to be one of the following forms:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{i_w} & \sin\theta_{i_w} \\ -\sin\theta_{i_w} & \cos\theta_{i_w} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{i_w} & \sin\theta_{i_w} \\ \sin\theta_{i_w} & -\cos\theta_{i_w} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.9}$$

For each one-mode Gaussian state ρ_{i_w}, suppose that its CM

$$V_{i_w} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_w} & c_{i_w} \\ c_{i_w} & b_{i_w} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{i_w} \geq 0, b_{i_w} \geq 0$, and $a_{i_w} b_{i_w} \geq c_{i_w}^2 + 1$. Next we deal with the two cases respectively.

If $\mathcal{O}_{i_w}$ has the first form in (2.9), it follows from (2.7) that $\sum_{w=1}^m t_{i_w} \mathcal{O}_{i_w}(V_{i_w}) \mathcal{O}_{i_w}^T + \lambda_i I_2$ has to be diagonal for arbitrary one-mode input covariance matrix V_{i_w}. It follows from a short computation that

$$\sum_{w=1}^m t_{i_w}[-a_{i_w} \cos\theta_{i_w} \sin\theta_{i_w} - c_{i_w} \sin^2\theta_{i_w} + c_{i_w} \cos^2\theta_{i_w} + b_{i_w} \cos\theta_{i_w} \sin\theta_{i_w}] = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Assume on the contrary that there is at least one scalar $j \in \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_m\}$ such that $t_j \neq 0$. Taking $a_{i_w} = b_{i_w}$ and $c_{i_w} = 0$ for all $i_w \neq j$, we have that by (2.10),

$$t_j[-a_j \cos\theta_j \sin\theta_j - c_j \sin^2\theta_j + c_j \cos^2\theta_j + b_j \cos\theta_j \sin\theta_j] = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Taking $c_j \neq 0$ and $a_j = b_j$ in (2.11), then $\cos^2\theta_j = \sin^2\theta_j$, that is, $\cos\theta_j = \pm\sin\theta_j$. It follows that $(b_j - a_j)\cos\theta_j \sin\theta_j$ is always zero in Eq (2.11). Taking $a_j \neq b_j$ again, we have either $\cos\theta_j = 0$ or $\sin\theta_j = 0$. It follows from $\cos\theta_j = \pm\sin\theta_j$ that $\cos\theta_j = \sin\theta_j = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_j = 0$ is not orthogonal. It is a contradiction. So all $t_{i_w} = 0$.

If $\mathcal{O}_{i_w}$ has the second form, it still follows from (2.7) that $\sum_{w=1}^m t_{i_w}\mathcal{O}_{i_w}(V_{i_w})\mathcal{O}_{i_w}^T + \lambda_i I_2$ has to be diagonal for arbitrary one-mode input covariance matrix V_{i_w}. It follows that $\sum_{w=1}^m t_{i_w}[a_{i_w}\cos\theta_{i_w}\sin\theta_{i_w} + c_{i_w}\sin^2\theta_{i_w} - c_{i_w}\cos^2\theta_{i_w} - b_{i_w}\cos\theta_{i_w}\sin\theta_{i_w}] = 0$. It follows from a similar discussion to (2.11) that all $t_{i_w} = 0$.

In summary, $t_{i_w} = 0$ for all i_w. Thus $K = 0$. We complete the proof. □

Next a picture about inclusion relation between GCBCs, GPPTCs, GEBCs, GCQCs and GQCCs is showed. Without loss of generality, next we assume that Gaussian channels have zero displacement vectors in the remained part of the section.

Let us begin from the definition of several kinds of Gaussian channel.

Definition 2.2 ([21]) Let H_A, H_B, H_E be separable infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, a Gaussian channel $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(H_A) \to \mathcal{T}(H_B)$ is entanglement-breaking (GEBC) if $\Phi \otimes I(\rho^{AE})$ is always separable for arbitrary a Gaussian input state $\rho^{AE} \in \mathcal{T}(H_A \otimes H_E)$. Φ is called the Gaussian positive partial transpose channel (GPPTC) if $\Phi \otimes I(\rho^{AE})$ has the positive partial transpose for arbitrary a Gaussian input state ρ^{AE}.

Definition 2.3 ([23], [24]) A Gaussian channel $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(H_A) \to \mathcal{T}(H_B)$ is classical-quantum (GCQC) if $\Phi(\rho) = \int_X \langle x|\rho|x\rangle \rho_x \mathrm{d}x$, where $\mathrm{d}x$ is the Lebesgue measure and $\{|x\rangle : x \in X\}$ is the Dirac's system satisfying $\langle x|y\rangle = \delta(x - y)$. It is a direct analogy of CQ maps in the finite dimensional systems. A Gaussian channel $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(H_A) \to \mathcal{T}(H_B)$ is quantum-classical (GQCC) if $\Phi(\rho) = \mathrm{tr}T(\mathrm{d}y)$, that is, such a channel maps quantum states to probability distributions on Y. Where $T(dy) = p_T(y)\mathrm{d}y$, $p_T(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}}\int \exp[-i\Delta(\omega, y)]\phi_T(\omega)\mathrm{d}^{2n}\omega$ and the operator characteristic function

$$\phi_T = W_A(K\omega)\exp[-\frac{1}{2}\mu(\omega, \omega)].$$

We denote by $\Omega_{\mathrm{PPT}}^G, \Omega_{\mathrm{EB}}^G, \Omega_{\mathrm{CQ}}^G, \Omega_{\mathrm{QC}}^G, \Omega_{\mathrm{CB}}^G$ the sets of GPPTCs, GEBCs, GCQCs, GQCCs and GCBCs respetively.

Next we uncover the inclusion relation among kinds of Gaussian channels. Let us start from the general Gaussian channels. An n-mode Gaussian channel $\Phi(K, M)$ satisfies

$$M \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}(\Delta - K\Delta K^T),$$

where $\Delta = \oplus_{i=1}^{n}\Delta_i$ and $\Delta_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Noting also that a Gaussian channel $\Phi(K, M)$ is entanglement-breaking (GEBC) if and only if there exist M_1, M_2 such that

$$M = M_1 + M_2,\ M_1 \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}\Delta,\ M_2 \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}K\Delta K^T.$$

Furthermore, Φ is PPT iff $M \geq \frac{i}{2}(\Delta \pm K\Delta K^T)$ ([25]). It follows that

$$\Omega_{\rm EB}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm PPT}^G.$$

Holevo ([23, 24]) showed that $\Phi(K, M, \bar{d})$ is a GCQC if $K\Delta K^T = 0$ and a GQCC if it satisfies

$$M \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}K\Delta K^T.$$

A direct observation and Theorem 2.2 say that

$$\Omega_{\rm CB}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm CQ}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm QC}^G.$$

Next let us check that $\Omega_{\rm QC}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm EB}^G$. If M satisfies that $M \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}K\Delta K^T$, taking $M_1 = M - (\pm\frac{i}{2}K\Delta K^T)$ and $M_2 = \pm\frac{i}{2}K\Delta K^T$. It follows that $M_1 \geq \pm\frac{i}{2}\Delta$. So $\Omega_{\rm QC}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm EB}^G$. Finally, we have that

$$\Omega_{\rm CB}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm CQ}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm QC}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm EB}^G \subseteq \Omega_{\rm PPT}^G.$$

The inclusion relation is described in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. The picture for the inclusion relation

3. Property of GCBCs with assistant entanglement inputs

Next we are interested in Gaussian coherence breaking channels with assistant entanglement inputs. Such property is helpful to understand relative problems on channel capacities ([23]- [37]). Without loss of generality, we assume that Gaussian channels in the section are with zero displacement. An observation first is obtained as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *For arbitrary a Gaussian channel Ψ on the system H_E and an n-mode Gaussian coherence breaking channel Φ on quantum system H_A, $\Phi\otimes\Psi(\rho^{AE})$ is always a product state for arbitrary input Gaussian state ρ^{AE} on the composite system $H_A\otimes H_E$.*

Proof. Suppose $\Psi(K_\Psi, M_\Psi)$ and ρ_{AE} has the CM $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^T & E \end{pmatrix}$, then $\Phi\otimes\Psi(\rho^{AE})$ has the following CM

$$\nu_{\text{out}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_\Psi \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^T & E \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_\Psi^T \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \oplus_{i=1}^n \lambda_i I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_\Psi \end{pmatrix},$$

where λ_i is the eigenvalue of thermal state in the ith mode of the system A. It follows that

$$\nu_{\text{out}} = (\oplus_{i=1}^n \omega_i I_2) \oplus (X_\Psi E X_\Psi^T + Y_\Psi).$$

Thus, $\Phi\otimes\Psi(\rho^{AE}) = \Phi(\rho_A)\otimes\Psi(\rho_E)$ is a product state. We complete the proof. □

The observation says that the set of GCBCs has to be a proper subset of the set of GEBCs. In order to link to applications into the theory of channel capacities, it is need to discuss the property of the $k-$tensor-product for GCBCs and arbitrary channels with entangled inputs.

Suppose that the Gaussian channel Ψ has the form (X_Ψ, Y_Ψ) with the zero displacement vector and $\Phi\otimes\Psi$ acts on bipartite system $H_A\otimes H_B$. It follows that the Gaussian channel $(\Phi\otimes\Psi)^{\otimes k}$ can be described based on covariance matrices of input states as follows

$$\nu_{\text{in}} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_\Psi \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus k} \nu_{\text{in}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_\Psi^T \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus k} + \begin{pmatrix} \oplus_{i=1}^n \omega_i I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_\Psi \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus k},$$

where $\text{diag}\nu_{\text{in}} = \text{diag}(\nu_{AB}, \nu_{AB}, ..., \nu_{AB})$, $\nu_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^T & B \end{pmatrix}$ is the covariance matrix for input states of $\Phi\otimes\Psi$, ω_i is the eigenvalue of thermal state in the ith mode of the system A. It follows that the CM ν_{out} of the output state

$$\nu_{\text{out}} = \begin{pmatrix} \oplus_{i=1}^n \omega_i I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & X_\Psi B X_\Psi^T + Y_\Psi \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus k}.$$

Without loss of generality, write the modulation covariance matrix for the Gaussian channel $(\Phi\otimes\Psi)^{\otimes k}$ $\nu_{\text{mod}} = (m_{ij})$ (for example, see [31], [34]). Similar to the above

discussion, we have that the modulated output state has the covariance matrix $\bar{\nu}_{\text{out}}$

$$\bar{\nu}_{\text{out}} = \nu_{(\Phi\otimes\Psi)^{\otimes k}(\rho[\nu_{\text{in}}+\nu_{\text{mod}}])} = \begin{pmatrix} \oplus_{i=1}^{n}\omega_i I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & X_\Psi(B+\nu'_{\text{mod}})X_\Psi^T + Y_\Psi \end{pmatrix}^{\oplus k}, \tag{3.1}$$

Where the ν'_{mod} is the submatrix of ν_{mod} corresponding to B.

Recall that capacity of quantum channels is a core topic in quantum information, and additivity question for channel capacity arises from the following one: whether or not the entangled inputs can improve the classical capacity of quantum channels ([22]-[37]). The classical χ-capacity of a channel Φ is defined as

$$C_\chi(\Phi) = \sup_{\{p_i,\rho_i\}} [S(\sum_i p_i(\Phi(\rho_i))) - \sum_i p_i S(\Phi(\rho_i)], \tag{3.2}$$

where S denote quantum entropy. The classical capacity $C(\Phi)$ is

$$C(\Phi) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} C_\chi(\Phi^{\otimes k}). \tag{3.3}$$

If Φ is Gaussian channel, its Gaussian classical χ-capacity is defined as

$$C_\chi^G(\Phi) = \sup_{\mu,\bar{\rho}} S(\Phi(\bar{\rho})) - \int \mu(\mathrm{d}\omega) S(\Phi(\rho(\omega))), \tag{3.4}$$

where the input $\rho(\omega)$ is n-mode Gaussian state, $\bar{\rho} = \int \mu(\mathrm{d}z)\rho(z)$ is the so-called averaged signal state, μ is probability measure. Note that the right side of Eq (3.4) may be infinite. It is obvious that the Gaussian classical capacity is a lower bound of classical capacity. The additivity of channel capacity can be described as

$$\mathcal{C}(\Phi\otimes\Psi) = \mathcal{C}(\Phi) + \mathcal{C}(\Psi), \tag{3.5}$$

where Φ and Ψ are channels and $\mathcal{C}$ denotes channel capacity ([35]). It is mentioned that the additivity of classical capacity for GEBCs had been discussed in ([26], [27]). Here, we are interested in giving a shorter proof of additivity of classical capacity of the tensor product of a GCBC and arbitrary a Gaussian channel. For the Gaussian channel Ψ has the form (X_Ψ, Y_Ψ) and the GCBC Φ, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi((\Phi\otimes\Psi)^{\otimes k}) \\
&= S(\rho[\bar{\nu}_{\text{out}}]) - S(\rho[\nu_{\text{out}}]) \\
&= kS(\rho[\oplus_{i=1}^{n}\omega_i I_2] \otimes \rho[X_\Psi(B+\nu'_{\text{mod}})X_\Psi^T + Y_\Psi]) - \\
&\quad kS(\rho[\oplus_{i=1}^{n}\omega_i I_2] \otimes \rho[X_\Psi B X_\Psi^T + Y_\Psi]) \\
&= kS(\Phi(\bar{\rho}_A)) + kS(\Psi(\bar{\rho}_E)) - kS(\Phi(\rho_A)) - kS(\Psi(\rho_E)) \\
&= k\chi(\Phi) + k\chi(\Psi).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from that the Gaussian classical capacity and Gaussian classical χ-capacity are both additive for the tensor product of a GCBC and arbitrary a Gaussian channel.

4. Conclusion

Here, we have given a complete characterization of arbitrary n-mode Gaussian coherence breaking channels (GCBCs), which constitute a proper subclass of Gaussian entanglement breaking channels. Indeed, Gaussian coherence breaking channels have more rigorous properties, comparing with Gaussian entanglement breaking ones. An obvious witness is our observation that the tensor product of a GCBC and arbitrary a Gaussian channel maps all input states into product states. We also establish the inclusion relations among GCBCs and other common kinds of Gaussian channels. Furthermore, we discuss the property of the $k-$tensor-product of GCBCs and arbitrary channels with entangled inputs, and show a simple application in the research on the theory of the channel capacity.

Acknowledgements Thanks for comments. The work is completed when Kan He studies at University of Technology Sydney as a visiting scholar supported by China Scholarship Council (2016-2017). This work supported partially by Program for the Outstanding Innovative Teams of Higher Learning Institutions of Shanxi (2014).

References

[1] A. Streltsov, G. Adesso and M. B. Plenio, Colloquium: Quantum Coherence as a Resource, arXiv:1609.02439v1 [quant-ph] 8 Sep 2016

[2] J. S. Ivan, K. K. Sabapathy, and R. Simon, Nonclassicality breaking is the same as entanglement breaking for bosonic Gaussian channels, Phys. Rev. A 88(2013), 032302

[3] A. Streltsov, U. Singh, H. S. Dhar, M. N. Bera, and G. Adesso, Measuring Quantum Coherence with Entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115(2015), 020403

[4] M. B. Plenio and S. F. Huelga, Dephasing-assisted transport: quantum networks and biomolecules, New J. Phys. 10(2008), 113019

[5] F. Levi and F. Mintert, A quantitative theory of coherent delocalization, New J. Phys. 16(2014), 033007

[6] F. Brandão, M. Horodecki, N. Ng, J. Oppenheim, and S. Wehner, The second laws of quantum thermodynamics, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112(2015), 3275

[7] P. Ćwikliński, M. Studziński, M. Horodecki, and J. Oppenheim, Limitations on the Evolution of Quantum Coherences: Towards Fully Quantum Second Laws of Thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115(2015), 210403

[8] A. Misra, U. Singh, S. Bhattacharya, and A. K. Pati, Energy cost of creating quantum coherence, Phys. Rev. A 93(2016), 052335

[9] R. Glauber, Coherent and Incoherent States of the Radiation Field, Phys. Rev. 131(1963), 2766

[10] E. Sudarshan, Equivalence of Semiclassical and Quantum Mechanical Descriptions of Statistical Light Beams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10(1963), 277

[11] A. Monras, A. Checińska, and A. Ekert, Witnessing quantum coherence in the presence of noise, New J. Phys. 16(2014), 063041

[12] J. Åberg, Catalytic Coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113(2014), 150402

[13] D. Girolami, Observable Measure of Quantum Coherence in Finite Dimensional Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113(2014), 170401

[14] T. Baumgratz, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Quantifying Coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113(2014), 140401

[15] C. H. Bennett, H. J. Bernstein, S. Popescu, and B. Schumacher, Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations, Phys. Rev. A 53(1996), 2046

[16] L.-H. Shao, Z. Xi, H. Fan, and Y. Li, Fidelity and Trace-Norm Distances for Quantifying Coherence, Phys. Rev. A 91(2015), 042120

[17] K.F. Bu, Swati, U. Singh, and J. D. Wu, Coherence-breaking channels and coherence sudden death, Phys. Rev. A 94(2016), 052335

[18] Y.-R. Zhang, L.-H. Shao, Y.-M. Li, H. Fan, Quantifying coherence in infinite-dimensional systems, Phys. Rev. A 93 (2016), 012334

[19] D. Buono, G. Nocerino, G. Petrillo, G. Torre, G. Zonzo, F. Illuminati, Quantum coherence of Gaussian states, arXiv:1609.00913 [quant-ph]

[20] J.W. Xu, Quantifying coherence of Gaussian states, Phys. Rev. A 93(2016), 032111

[21] M. Horodecki, P. W. Shor, and M. B. Ruskai, Entanglement breaking channels, Rev. Math. Phys. 15(2003), 629

[22] P.W. Shor, J. Math. Phys. 43, 4334 (2002); C. King, J. Math. Phys. 43(2002), 4641; C. King, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 49(2003), 221; K. Matsumoto and F. Yura, J. Phys. A 37(2004), L167

[23] A. S. Holevo, Gaussian classical-quantum channels: gain of entanglement-assistance, Problems of information transmission, v. 50(2014), 1-15

[24] A. S. Holevo, Information capacity of aquantum observable, Problems of Information Transmission March, Volume 48(2012), Issue 1, pp 1-10

[25] A. S. Holevo Quantum systems, channels, information: a mathematical introduction. De Gruyter studies in mathematical physics. Walter de Gruyter GmbH Co. KG, Berlin. 16(2012), P. 11-349

[26] A. S. Holevo, Shirokov M. E. On Shor's channel extension and constrained channels. Comm. Math. Phys. 249(2004), P. 417-430

[27] M. E. Shirokov, The Holevo capacity of infinite dimensional channels and the addititivity problem. Comm. Math. Phys. 262(2006), P. 131-159

[28] A. S. Holevo, Entanglement breaking channels in infinite dimensions. Probl. Inf. Transmiss. 44 (3)(2008), P. 3-18

[29] V. Giovannetti, S. Guha, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, J. H. Shapiro, H. P. Yuen, Classical capacity of the lossy bosonic channel: the exact solution. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2)(2009), P. 0279021

[30] C. Lupo, O.V. Pilyavets, S. Mancini, Capacities of lossy bosonic channel with correlated noise. New J. Phys. 11(2009), P. 0630231-06302318

[31] J. Schäfer, E. Karpov, R. García Patrón , O.V. Pilyavets, N. J. Cerf, Equivalence relations for the classical capacity of singlemode Gaussian quantum channels. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111(2013), P. 0305031-0305035

[32] J. Eisert, M. M. Wolf, Gaussian quantum channels. In: Quantum information with continuous variables of atoms and light, edited by N. J. Cerf, G. Leuchs, E. S. Polzik, Imperial College Press, London. 1(2007), P. 23-42

[33] T. Hiroshima, Additivity and multiplicativity properties of some Gaussian channels for Gaussian Inputs. Phys. Rev. A 73(2006), P. 0123301-0123309

[34] J. Schäfer, E. Karpov, O. V. Pilyavets, N. J. Cerf, Classical capacity of phase-sensitive Gaussian quantum channels, arXiv:1609.04119 [quant-ph]

[35] C. H. Bennett, C. A. Fuchs, J. A. Smolin, Entanglement-enhanced classical communication on a noisy quantum channel, in: Quantum Communication, Computing and Measurement, Proc. QCM96, ed. by O. Hirota, A. S. Holevo and C. M. Caves, New York: Plenum 1997, pp. 79-88

[36] V. Giovannetti, S. Guha, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, J. H. Shapiro, and H. P. Yuen, Classical Capacity of the Lossy Bosonic Channel: The Exact Solution, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92(2004), 027902

[37] O. V. Pilyavets, C. Lupo, and S. Mancini. Methods for Estimating Capacities and Rates of Gaussian Quantum Channels. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 58(9)(2012):6126-6164

(Kan He) College of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan, 030024, P. R. China

E-mail address, K. He: hk19830310@163.com

(Jinchuan Hou) College of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan, 030024, P. R. China

E-mail address, J. Hou: houjinchuan@tyut.edu.cn